#### Performance Measurements of 360° Video Streaming to Head-Mounted Displays Over Live 4G Cellular Networks



Department of Computer Science National Tsing Hua University Hsin-Chu, Taiwan

#### Introduction

 Using conventional displays to watch broadcast live events ⇒ passive experience



#### 360 videos provide immersive experience









#### 360° video in HMD view

360° video in equirectangular projection

#### Mobile VR



- Mobile HMDs
  - Samsung Gear VR, Carl Zeiss VR One Plus, Google Cardboard, even our smartphone
- Experience VR anywhere
  - YouTube, Facebook, Discovery, vTime



# Streaming 360° Videos

- 4k resolution in HMD requires 12k resolution for the whole 360° videos (≈ 135 Mbps in HEVC
  - extremely large file size ⇒ insufficient bandwidth



Images source: 360Heros

# Opportunities and Solution Approaches

- The HMD viewer only gets to see a small part of the whole 360° video (< 1/3)</li>
- The viewer actively changes the viewing orientation when rotating his/her head.



- 360° video is split into tiles of sub-videos (spatial) and independently encoded
  - Only the tiles overlapped with the viewer's FoV are streamed to the client



- Tiles are split into temporal segments
  - tiles and qualities can change in every segments



Low-quality

**High-quality** 

- Tiles are split into temporal segments
  - tiles and qualities can change in every segments



- Tiles are split into temporal segments
  - tiles and qualities can change in every segments



- Tiles are split into temporal segments
  - tiles and qualities can change in every segments



- Tiles are split into temporal segments
  - tiles and qualities can change in every segments



- Tiles are split into temporal segments
  - tiles and qualities can change in every segments



- Tiles are split into temporal segments
  - tiles and qualities can change in every segments



### Before Streaming 360° Videos over 4G Networks

- Tiling with MPEG DASH -> reduce bandwidth
- Questions to answer
  - How tile size affects the streaming system performance?
  - How much bandwidth saving can we get by selectively requesting useful tiles?
  - How many users can be supported in one 4G cell?



#### Contributions

- We design and implement an end-to-end 360° video streaming system to Head Mounted Displays
- We evaluate our system's performance over a real 4G cellular network to answer the three questions
- We collect and share (upon request) the dataset collected with our system





• 360° Video Server



- 360° Video Server
  - HEVC<sup>[1]</sup> encoder
  - MPEG DASH<sup>[2]</sup> content generator

[1] G. Sullivan et al. "Overview of the high efficiency video coding (HEVC) standard." Sullivan, Gary J., et al. "Overview of the high efficiency video coding (HEVC) standard." *IEEE Transactions on circuits and systems for video technology* 22 (12), 2012, 1649-1668.
[2] ISO/IEC DIS 23009-1.2 Dynamic adaptive streaming over HTTP (DASH)

19



• Split the videos into tiles of sub-videos



- Split the videos into tiles of sub-videos
- Encode the tiles using motion-constrained HEVC encoder with different bitrates (qualities)



- Split the videos into tiles of sub-videos
- Encode the tiles using **motion-constrained** HEVC encoder with different bitrates (qualities)
  - constrain the tiles encoding so that each tile only refers to the same tiles in previous or future frames -> avoid decoding glitches



- Split the videos into tiles of sub-videos
- Encode the tiles using motion-constrained HEVC encoder with different bitrates (qualities)
  - constrain the tiles encoding so that each tile only refers to the same tiles in previous or future frames -> avoid decoding glitches
  - adapt to network condition



- Split the videos into tiles of sub-videos
- Encode the tiles using motion-constrained HEVC encoder with different bitrates (qualities)
- Encapsulate tiles into single HEVC bitstream



- Split the videos into tiles of sub-videos
- Encode the tiles using motion-constrained HEVC encoder with different bitrates (qualities)
- Encapsulate tiles into single HEVC bitstream
- Integrate with DASH for spatial index generation (MPD and SRD)



- 360° Video Server
  - HEVC<sup>[1]</sup> encoder
  - MPEG DASH<sup>[2]</sup> content generator
  - HTTP Server



• 360° Video Server

Client with HMD

- HEVC<sup>[1]</sup> encoder
- MPEG DASH<sup>[2]</sup> content generator
- HTTP Server



- 360° Video Server
  - HEVC<sup>[1]</sup> encoder

- Client with HMD
  - Tile selector
- MPEG DASH<sup>[2]</sup> content generator
- HTTP Server



- 360° Video Server
  - HEVC<sup>[1]</sup> encoder

- Client with HMD
  - Tile selector
- MPEG DASH<sup>[2]</sup> content generator
- HTTP Server

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 360° Video Server
  - HEVC<sup>[1]</sup> encoder

- Client with HMD
  - Tile selector
- MPEG DASH<sup>[2]</sup> content generator
- HTTP Server

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 360° Video Server
  - HEVC<sup>[1]</sup> encoder
  - MPEG DASH<sup>[2]</sup> content generator
  - HTTP Server

[1] G. Sullivan et al. "Overview of the high efficiency video coding (HEVC) standard." Sullivan, Gary J., et al. "Overview of the high efficiency video coding (HEVC) standard." *IEEE Transactions on circuits and systems for video technology* 22 (12), 2012, 1649-1668.
[2] ISO/IEC DIS 23009-1.2 Dynamic adaptive streaming over HTTP (DASH)

Client with HMD

Tile selector

HEVC decoder

#### Testbed

- Server
  - Kvazaar<sup>[1]</sup> HEVC encoder
  - MP4Box<sup>[2]</sup> DASH content generator
  - Apache HTTP server<sup>[3]</sup>
- Client
  - Oculus Rift DK2<sup>[4]</sup>
  - MP4Client<sup>[5]</sup>
- 4G LTE Network
  - RBS 6601 base station
  - HUAWEI E3267 4G dongle
- [1] Kavazaar, an opensource HEVC encoder. <u>https://github.com/ultravideo/kvazaar</u>
- [2] MP4Box , a multimedia packager. <a href="https://gpac.wp.imt.fr/mp4box/">https://gpac.wp.imt.fr/mp4box/</a>
- [3] Apache HTTP server. <u>https://httpd.apache.org/</u>
- [4] Oculus Rift Development Kit 2 (DK2). <u>https://www3.oculus.com/en-us/dk2/</u>
- [5] MP4Client, an opensource multimedia player. https://gpac.wp.imt.fr/player/

![](_page_31_Picture_16.jpeg)

#### **Experiment Setup**

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Number of tiles: {1x1, 3x3, 5x5, 7x7, 9x9}
- DASH segment length: {1, 4, 10} secs
- Bitrates: {3, 6, 12} Mbps
- Viewer's FoV is randomly chosen from dataset<sup>[1]</sup>
- Perform 3 times and report the average

![](_page_32_Figure_7.jpeg)

[1] W. Lo, C. Fan, J. Lee, C. Huang, K. Chen, and C. Hsu, "360° video viewing dataset in head-mounted Virtual 33
Reality," in Proc. of ACM MMSys'17

### **Measurement** Design

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

- How tile size affects the streaming system performance?
- How much bandwidth saving can we get by selectively requesting useful tiles?
- How many users can be supported in one cell?

#### **Measurement Design**

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

- How tile size affects the streaming system performance?
  - vary the number of tiles and the bitrates
- How much bandwidth saving can we get by selectively requesting useful tiles?
- How many users can be supported in one cell?

# The Number of Tiles $\uparrow \Rightarrow$ Coding Efficiency $\downarrow$

• Due to motion constraints among tiles

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Number of Tiles 1, Protocol Overhead 1

- The majority of the streamed tiles are videos
- The protocol overhead is always less than 3%

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

# More Tiles Consume More Time to Download

#### Reasons

- Sequentially download
- Tile encapsulation overhead

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **Measurement Design**

- How tile size affects the streaming system performance?
  - vary the number of tiles and the bitrates
- How much bandwidth saving can we get by selectively requesting useful tiles?
  - modify the client to only request the tiles that will be watched by viewers
- How many users can be supported in one cell?

# The Bandwidth Saving of FoV-Based Streaming

 Skipping tiles based on viewer's FoV saves the bandwidth by up to 80%

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

# More Tiles Incurs Minor Video Quality Drop

Reduces the amount of data and incurs minor video quality drop

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

[1] M. Yu, H. Lakshman, and B. Girod, "A framework to evaluate omnidirectional video coding schemes," in Proc. of IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR'15)

### **Measurement Design**

- How tile size affects the streaming system performance?
  - vary the number of tiles and the bitrates
- How much bandwidth saving can we get by selectively requesting useful tiles?
  - modify the client to only request the tiles that will be watched by viewers
- How many users can be supported in one cell?
  - repeat the above experiments but with more clients

# Scalability

- Our streaming testbed can support at least 3 clients
- More number of tiles -> smaller average bandwidth

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Conclusion

- We design measurement experiments to quantify the performance of VR streaming over cellular networks
- We build a streaming testbed and conduct extensive experiments using real user trace<sup>[1]</sup>
  - FoV-based video streaming can save up to **80**% in bandwidth consumption
  - More tiles suffer from lower coding efficiency and late segments

[1] W. Lo, C. Fan, J. Lee, C. Huang, K. Chen, and C. Hsu, "360° video viewing dataset in head-mounted Virtual Reality," in Proc. of ACM MMSys'17

#### Future Work

• This work can be extended to optimal bitrate allocation for mobile AR/VR systems with HMDs

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### ch.ling.fan@gmail.com